

## REMARKS

Reconsideration and further examination of this application is respectfully requested. Claims 1 and 3-21 were last presented for examination. Claims 1, 7, 13 and 17 have been amended. Claim 2 has been previously cancelled without prejudice. The remaining claims are presented in their original form.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 3, 5-14, and 16-21 under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tittel et al., "XML for Dummies," Copyright 2000 IDG Books Worldwide.

The Examiner states in paragraph 6 that Tittel discloses parsing a predefined definition file with a parser, said predefined definition comprising at least one reference to a first predefined template file and at least one content file, where the template file contains formatting information for the web page. Tittel disclosed the use of document type definition (DTD) files on pages 61-63. Tittel discloses the use of style sheets that control formatting on the web page on pages 141-145. Tittel discloses the DTD file referencing both a template and a content file on Page 189.

In response to the Examiner's rejection claim 1 has been amended to recite a method reciting "interpreting the plurality of template file to determine the links for a plurality of format files and content files and creating a section of said web page by combining said one of said plurality of format files with the associated content files"

Support for this limitation can be found in the specification on page 6, lines 12-18.

It is axiomatic that the standard for lack of novelty under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is one of strict identity. To anticipate a claim for a patent, a single prior source must contain all of the claim's essential elements. The excerpts that the Examiner has cited from the "Tittel" reference does not disclose a method where the function of the parser is to interpret the definition file parsing the definition file to extract the format files from template to be used with the content files to create a web page or a section of the webpage as taught by the Applicant.

In fact the word parser is used in only two instances in the "Tittel" reference cited by the Examiner. The first instance is in the title page where it states the following:

"EML examples, tools parsers, and more on CD-ROM".

The second instance is on the “Introduction page, where it states the following:

“The XML For Dummies CD has numerous XML authoring tools, parsers, development kits, and other goodies that we hope you’ll find helpful for your own projects!”

The Applicant respectfully submits that the above statements do not teach or recite the limitations with the methods of utilizing a parser as claimed in the instant application. In fact, just reading this reference does not give the reader access to any information that might be contained in the CD with reference to a parser or how it might be used.

Therefore, in view of the forgoing discussion there can be no identity with claim 1, which is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Claims 3-6 are dependent claims of claim 1 and incorporate the newly amended limitation of claim 1 and as such are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

With respect to the independent claims 7, 13, and 17 each of these claims have been amended to add the new limitation that interpreting the plurality of template file to determine the links for a plurality of format files and content files and creating a web page by combining said one of said plurality of format files with the associated content files is essential to the method. For the same rationale discussed with claim 1, the “Tittel” reference does not disclose a method teaching how a parser is utilized to create a web page and therefore there can be no identity with these independent claims of the Applicant. These claims are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-12 are dependent claims of claim 7 and incorporate the newly amended limitation of claim 7 and as such are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Claims 14-16 are dependent claims of claim 13 and incorporate the newly amended limitation of claim 13 and as such are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.


Claims 18-21 are dependent claims of claim 19 and incorporate the newly amended limitation of claim 1 and as such are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejected claims 4 and 15 under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tittel in view of Hsu et al. Claims 4 and 15 now incorporate the newly amended limitation of claims 1 and 13. Hsu et al. does not disclose this limitation of interpreting the plurality of template file to determine the links for a plurality of format files and content files and creating a web page by combining said one of said plurality of format files with the associated content files. Therefore neither Tittel or Hsu et al. considered individually or in combination disclose the Applicant's claims as amended.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1 and 3-21 are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC



Benjamin Hudson, Jr., Reg. No. 29,653

Attorney for Applicant

2026 Caribou Drive, Suite 201

Fort Collins, CO 80525

Telephone: (970) 492-1100

Fax: (970) 492-1101

Customer No. 27479

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2006.